arXiv:1606.02363v3 [math.AP] 1 Dec 2016

CONDITIONS IMPLYING ENERGY EQUALITY FOR WEAK SOLUTIONS TO THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATION.

TREVOR M. LESLIE AND ROMAN SHVYDKOY

ABSTRACT. When a Leray-Hopf weak solution to the NSE has a singularity set S of dimension d less than 3, for example a suitable weak solution, we find a family of new L^qL^p conditions that guarantee validity of the energy equality. The conditions surpass the classical Lions-Ladyzhenskaya L^4L^4-result in the case $d < 1$. Additionally, we establish energy equality in the certain cases of type I blow up. The results are also extended to the NSE with fractional power of the Laplacian below 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u = -\nabla p, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \tag{2}$$

where u is the velocity field, p is the scalar pressure, and ν is the viscosity. We restrict attention to the case of the open domain $\mathbb{R}^3$ for definiteness. The results below carry over ad verbatim to $\mathbb{T}^3$ and locally to the interior of a bounded domain as well.

By a classical result of Leray [10], it is known that for divergence-free initial data $u_0 \in L^2$, there exists a weak solution to (1)-(2) up to a specified time T such that $u \in L^2H^1 \cap L^\infty L^2$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{t\}} |u|^2\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{t_0\}} |u|^2\,dx - 2\nu \int_{t_0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\,dx\,dt \tag{3}$$

for all $t \in (0, T]$, and a.e. $t_0 \in [0, t]$ including $t_0 = 0$. Moreover, strong solutions to (1)-(2) satisfy the corresponding energy equality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{t\}} |u|^2\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{0\}} |u|^2\,dx = -2\nu \int_{t_0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\,dx\,dt. \tag{4}$$

Since the introduction of the Leray-Hopf solutions, it has been notoriously difficult to establish energy equality for all such solutions. The question, beyond purely mathematical interest, is motivated on physical grounds as well – knowing (4) rather than (3) rules out the presence of anomalous energy dissipation due to the nonlinearity, a phenomenon normally associated with weak solutions of the inviscid Euler system in the framework of the so-called Onsager conjecture (more on this below). This allows, as stipulated for example in the text of Frisch [7], to precisely equate the classical Kolmogorov residual energy anomaly $\epsilon_\nu \to \epsilon_0$ of a turbulent flow to the Onsager dissipation in the limit of vanishing viscosity. Let us overview what has been known so far in the direction of resolving the question. Lions proved [11] that (4) holds for $u \in L^4L^4$; techniques developed in the classical book of Ladyzenskaja, Solonnikov, and Uralceva [9] reproduce this result. Later, Serrin [13] proved energy equality in space dimension

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76S05,35Q35.

This work was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1210896 and DMS-1515705. The authors thank V. Sverak for valuable discussions and constant interest to the work.

d under the condition $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1$. Shinbrot [14] improved upon this result, proving equality when $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1$, $p \geq 4$, independent of the dimension. Kukavica [8] has proven equality under the assumption $p \in L^2L^2$; this assumption is weaker than – but dimensionally equivalent to – Lions' result. A number of new conditions have appeared more recently, after the introduction of critical conditions for the parallel question of energy conservation for the Euler system. In [3] the equality is shown to hold, both for Euler and Navier-Stokes, for all solutions in a Besov-type regularity class

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \left\{ u \in L^3_t L^3_x : \lim_{|y|\to 0} \frac{1}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T]} |u(x+y,t) - u(x,t)|^3 dxdt = 0 \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Note that the class measures regularity $1/3$ in space, "L^3-averaged" over space-time. In particular, the condition defining $\mathcal{R}_0$ holds if $u \in L^3 B^{1/3}_{3,p}$ for some $p \in [1,\infty)$; the class includes spaces like $L^3W^{1/3,3}$ and $L^3H^{5/6}$. On a bounded domain, the energy equality is established in [4] for the dimensionally equivalent class $L^3D(A^{5/12})$, where A is the Stokes operator; see also [6] for extension to exterior domains. Let us note that by interpolation with the enstrophy class L^2H^1, any solution in L^4L^4 lands in $L^3B^{1/3}_{3,3} \subset \mathcal{R}_0$. Thus, Lions' condition can be recovered from Onsager's.

It was not until after this time that arguments that were used to establish (4) began to make use of the fact that the set of singular points of a weak solution may be confined to a lower dimensional subset of time-space. This is of course the case for suitable weak solutions, according to the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem (CKN) [1]. In [12], the authors examine the situation where u is bounded in an energy class which is scaling invariant in space, and the energy equality is established by covering the singularity set in accordance with the CKN theorem. Presently, we can address these cases in a systematic way with the use of the class $\mathcal{R}_0$. Indeed, any scaling invariant in space condition implies that u belongs to $L^\infty B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$, the largest such class by Cannone's theorem [2]. By interpolation with $L^2H^1 = L^2B^1_{2,2}$, we find again that $u \in L^3B^{1/3}_{3,3} \subset \mathcal{R}_0$, and (4) follows (see Section 2.2). A cut-off procedure was also previously used in [15] to establish energy equality; there it was assumed that the singularity was confined to a curve $s \in C^{1/2}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^3)$ and additionally that $u \in L^3L^{9/2}$, $\nabla u \in L^3L^{9/5}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathrm{Graph}(s))_{\mathrm{loc}}$, the assumption dimensionally equivalent to the class $\mathcal{R}_0$.

In this paper, we establish new sufficient conditions for energy equality which specifically exploit low dimensionality of the singularity set. We consider both classical and fractional dissipation cases. The results are sorted into two categories: the more special case where (4) is established on a time interval of regularity until the first time of blow up, and more general case of singularities spread over space-time. In the former case the results are stronger. Although it is more restrictive in terms of setup, it is also the case that is most relevant for the blowup problem. The conditions we find depend on the dimension $d < 3$. The bifurcation value of d occurs at $d = 1$, or $d = 5 - 4\gamma$ in the fractional case, where γ is the power of the Laplacian. Recall that if u is suitable, then by CKN we have $d \leq 1$, so the low dimensionality comes as given in the classical case. We state our main result in terms of suitable solutions to the classical Navier-Stokes equation, as it appears to be the most addressed case in the literature. However, this result is a special case of a much more general set of criteria depending on values of d and $\gamma \leq 1$, which we will state in detail in the sections below. To illustrate our results, we make extensive use of diagrams, drawn in $(x = 1/p,\ y = 1/q)$ coordinates. The striped regions in our figures correspond to new values of p and q for which the condition $u \in L^qL^p$ implies energy equality. A dotted boundary indicates that values on the boundary are not included, while a solid line indicates included values.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $u \in C_w([0,T];L^2) \cap L^2([0,T];H^1)$ is a suitable weak solution on $[0,T]$, regular on $[0,T)$. Assume that $u \in L^qL^p$, where one of the following conditions hold (see Figure 3)*

$$\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q} \le 1, \quad 3 \le q \le p, \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{2}{p}+\frac{2}{q} < 1, \quad 3 \le p < q, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{6}{p^2}-\frac{7}{p}-\frac{2}{q}+2 < 0, \quad p < 3. \tag{8}$$

Then u satisfies (4) on the interval $[0,T]$.

The theorem will be proved in Section 2 as part of a more general result for dimensions $d < 3$ on an interval of regularity. The results are summarized in Figures 1, 2, 3, 4. We can also treat the situation where u has one of the following type-I blowups at T:

$$\sup_x |u(x,t)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{T-t}} \text{ or } \sup_{0<t<T} |u(x,t)| \le \frac{C}{|x|}. \tag{9}$$

We call these two scenarios 'type-I in time' blowup and 'type-I in space' blowup, respectively.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose u is a Leray-Hopf solution on $[0,T]$ which is regular on $[0,T)$. If u experiences type-I in space blowup as in (9), then u satisfies (4) on $[0,T]$. If u experiences type-I in time blowup as in (9) and additionally $d < 1$, where d denotes the Hausdorff dimension of the singularity set at time T, then u satisfies (4) on $[0,T]$.*

General singularity sets spread in time-space will be addressed in Section 3; the results are depicted in Figure 5 for $0 < d < 1$. At $d = 1$, the new region collapses to the known classical diagram; see Figure 6. We give extensions for the fractional dissipation case in Section 4 and present similar figures for each significantly distinct range of values d, γ. On the way, we prove a commutator estimate in Lemma 4.2 which may be of independent interest.

2. Energy equality at the first time of blowup

2.1. **Setup.** Suppose (u,p) is a Leray-Hopf weak solution to the Navier-Stokes system on the domain $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T)$ with initial condition (u_0,p_0). For any function $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T))$ supported outside the singularity set S we have the local energy equality, for any $0 < t < T$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{t\}} |u|^2\phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{0\}} |u_0|^2\phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |u|^2\partial_t\phi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |u|^2 u\cdot\nabla\phi + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} p\,u\cdot\nabla\phi - 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |\nabla u|^2\phi - 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} u\otimes\nabla\phi : \nabla u. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Let us write the obtained identity using labels for respective terms in the same order as they appear in (10):

$$A - B - C = D + 2P - 2\nu E - 2\nu F. \tag{11}$$

Since $u \in L^\infty L^2 \cap L^2H^1$, clearly the terms A, B, E converge to their natural limits

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{t\}} |u|^2, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{0\}} |u|^2, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |\nabla u|^2,$$

respectively, if $|\phi| \le 1$ and $\phi \to 1$ pointwise a.e. Therefore, to restore the energy equality it suffices to choose a proper sequence of ϕ's for which all the other terms in (10) converge to zero.

The case addressed in this section pertains to the situation when singularity occurs at the single time critical time T. For notational convenience we will replace the interval $[0, T)$ with $[-1, 0)$, 0 being critical, and thus assume that $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{0\}$. We assume that S has Hausdorff dimension $d < 3$. Recall that if (u, p) is a suitable solution, then by CKN, we have $d \le 1$. For convenience, we will identify S with its spatial slice at time $t = 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_d(S)$ the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure of S and assume that $\mathcal{H}_d(S) < \infty$. In what follows below, we take advantage of the fact that S belongs only to the time slice at $t = 0$ and that we can therefore cover S with cylinders scaled arbitrarily in time. Specifically, let us denote by $B_r(x)$ the open ball $\{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - x| < r\}$. Choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$, then choose finitely many $x_i \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $r_i \in (0, \delta)$ for all i, such that $S \subset \bigcup_i B_{r_i}(x_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^d \lesssim 2\mathcal{H}_d(S)$. Denote $I_i = (-r_i^\alpha, r_i^\alpha)$ (where α is determined below); let Q_i denote the cylinder $Q_i = B_{r_i}(x_i) \times I_i$, and put $Q = \bigcup_i Q_i$, $I = \bigcup_i 2I_i$. Let $\psi(s)$ be the usual (symmetric, radially decreasing) cut-off function on the line with $\psi(s) = 1$ on $|s| < 1.1$ and $\psi(s)$ vanishing on $|s| > 1.9$. Let $\phi_i(x, t) = \psi(|x - x_i|/r_i)\psi(t/r_i^\alpha)$. Define $\phi = 1 - \sup_i \phi_i$. Clearly, ϕ vanishes on an open neighborhood of Q, while any partial derivative $\partial\phi$ is supported within the union of the double-dilated cylinders, which we denote by Q^*. Note that the Lebesgue measure of the sequence of Q^*'s vanishes as $\delta \to 0$; the same is true of the measure of the sequence of Is. Also note that ϕ is differentiable a.e. and

$$|\partial\phi(x, t)| \le \sup_i |\partial\phi_i(x, t)|, \text{ a.e., see [5, Theorem 4.13].}$$

Therefore, for any $a > 0$, we have the following bounds, which hold for a.e. t:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t\phi(x, t)|^a \, dx \le \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t\phi_i(x, t)|^a \, dx \le \sum_i r_i^{-\alpha a+3} \chi_{I_i}(t), \tag{12a}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_x\phi(x, t)|^a \, dx \le \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_x\phi_i(x, t)|^a \, dx \le \sum_i r_i^{-a+3} \chi_{I_i}(t). \tag{12b}$$

2.2. **Type I Singularities.** Generally we say that a solution u of the classical Navier-Stokes equations experiences a type-I blow up at time 0 if it stays bounded in some scaling invariant functional space

$$\|u\|_{X([-1,0];Y)} \le C.$$

Examples include those stated in (9). It also occurs naturally in the case of a self-similar blow up with critical decay of the profile at infinity,

$$u(x, t) = |t|^{-1/2} U(x/|t|^{1/2}), \quad |U(y)| \le C/|y|, \text{ as } |y| \to \infty.$$

In this case, the energy equality up to the critical time follows from the sub-Prodi-Serrin bound $u \in L^q L^p$, where $\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} > 1$. In particular, $u \in L^4 L^4$, the Lions' space, which implies energy equality. A more subtle situation occurs in the case of type-I in space only or type-I in time only blowup, which is addressed in our Theorem 1.2. By type-I-in-space, we mean a weak solution on a time interval $[-1, 0]$ with the bound given by the second inequality in (9) (technically, in this case multiple blowups are possible on the interval). To handle this case, we paraphrase it by writing $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^\infty(|x|dx))$; the latter denotes the weighted L^∞-space. Since $L^\infty(|x|dx)$ is invariant with respect to the rescaling $f \to \lambda f(\lambda x)$, we have by Cannone's theorem [2] that it belongs to the largest scaling invariant space $B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$. Thus, type-I-in-space implies $u \in L^\infty B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$. By interpolation with $L^2 H^1 = L^2 B^1_{2,2}$ we naturally find $u \in L^3 B^{1/3}_{3,3} \subset \mathcal{R}_0$, which implies energy equality as mentioned in the Introduction. This settles the first part of Theorem 1.2.

By type-I-in-time, we mean a regular solution u on time interval $[-1, 0)$ that experiences blowup at time $t = 0$ and satisfies the first inequality in (9). If u is a type-I-in-time solution with $0 < d < 1$, then

$u \in L^r L^\infty$ for any $r < 2$. In particular we can choose $r < 2$ large enough so that the pair $(p, q) = (\infty, r)$ satisfies (25) below. We will see that this is a sufficient condition to guarantee (4). This resolves the second claim in Theorem 1.2.

2.3. **Bounds on terms C, D, P, F.** We now turn to the first part of Theorem 1.1, which we will address under no assumption on the singularity dimension other than $d < 3$. We will start with basic estimates on the terms C, D, P, F, which are the only terms in the local energy equality that depend on derivatives of φ, hence are singular. Let us bound term C first. By Hölder's inequality, we have that for all $p, q \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |C| &\leq \|u\|^2_{L^q L^p} \left(\int_{-1}^0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \phi(x,t)|^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2}} dt \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}} \\ &\leq \|u\|^2_{L^q L^p} \left(\int_{-1}^0 \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\frac{\alpha p}{p-2}+3} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2}} dt \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here and below, we often write $L^q L^p$ for $L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{T}^3))$. In particular, if $q < \infty$ we have $\|u\|_{L^q L^p} \to 0$. So, in order to conclude $C \to 0$, for $q < \infty$, it suffices to prove that the term in parentheses is bounded as $\delta \to 0$; the latter need not vanish. The energy equality for the case $q = \infty$ will follow by interpolation.

The viscous term F is bounded by

$$|F| \leq \int_{Q^*} |u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q^*} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \, dt. \tag{14}$$

Clearly, the second integral on the right vanishes as $\delta \to 0$. For the first integral we have a bound similar to C:

$$\int_{Q^*} |u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \|u\|^2_{L^q L^p} \left(\int_{-1}^0 \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\frac{2p}{p-2}+3} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2}} dt \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}}. \tag{15}$$

Before we proceed with estimates for D and P, let us produce conditions on p, q that warrant vanishing of the integral on the right hand sides of (13) and (15). The following lemma will assist us:

Lemma 2.1. *Let d, δ, r_i, I_i be as above, and let σ, s be positive numbers. Suppose the sum $H = \sum_i r_i^d$ is finite. Then the inequality*

$$\int \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\sigma} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^s dt \lesssim H^s \tag{16}$$

holds whenever $s \geq 1$ and $s(\sigma + d) \leq \alpha$, or $s < 1$ and $s(\sigma + d) < \alpha$. When $d = 0$, the above holds (trivially) under the non-strict assumption $s\sigma \leq \alpha$.

Proof. Case 1, $s \geq 1$. By Hölder, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\sigma} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^s = \left(\sum_i r_i^d r_i^{-\sigma-d} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^s &\leq \left(\sum_i r_i^d \right)^{s-1} \sum_i r_i^{d-(\sigma+d)s} \chi_{I_i}(t) \\ &= H^{s-1} \sum_i r_i^{d-(\sigma+d)s} \chi_{I_i}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Integrating in time we obtain

$$\int \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\sigma} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^s dt \lesssim H^{s-1} \sum_i r_i^{d-(\sigma+d)s+\alpha}.$$

The sum is $\leq H$ whenever the condition stated in the Lemma is satisfied.

Case 2, $s < 1$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $R_j := \{r_i : r_i \in [2^{-j},\, 2^{-j+1})\}$, and let N_j denote the cardinality of R_j. Clearly $N_j \lesssim 2^{jd} H$ and $N_j = 0$ for $j \leq 0$. Also denote $J_j = [-2^{(-j+1)\alpha},\, 2^{(-j+1)\alpha}]$. So if $r_i \in R_j$, then $r_i^{-\sigma} \chi_{I_i}(t) \leq 2^{j\sigma} \chi_{J_j}(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\sigma} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^s dt &\leq \int \left(\sum_j N_j 2^{j\sigma} \chi_{J_j}(t) \right)^s dt \lesssim H^s \int \left(\sum_j 2^{j(\sigma+d)} \chi_{J_j}(t) \right)^s dt \\ &\leq H^s \int \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(\sigma+d)s} \chi_{J_j}(t)\, dt \lesssim H^s \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j((\sigma+d)s-\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

The final sum converges to an adimensional number due to the assumption of the Lemma. □

With the use of Lemma 2.1 we will now provide estimates on the C, D, P, and F terms for various values of $p, q \geq 2$ and arbitrary $\alpha > 0$. Then we will optimize over α to provide the largest region in which all terms vanish and hence the energy equality holds.

First, note that $p < q \iff \frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2} < 1$, and $p \geq q \iff \frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2} \geq 1$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with $\sigma = \frac{\alpha p}{p-2} - 3$ and $s = \frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2}$, we see that C vanishes whenever

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \leq \frac{3-d}{2},\ p \geq q \geq 2; \quad \frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{3-d}{2},\ 2 \leq p < q. \tag{18}$$

Reasoning similarly, we have $F \to 0$ whenever

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \leq \frac{3-d+\alpha-2}{2},\ p \geq q \geq 2; \quad \frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{3-d+\alpha-2}{2},\ 2 \leq p < q. \tag{19}$$

In both cases the conditions are non-strict if $d = 0$.

The estimates we use to bound the terms D and P depend on whether $p \geq 3$ or $p < 3$; we consider each case in turn. First, let $p \geq 3$. Using Hölder's inequality, together with the bound $\|up\|_{L^{q/3}L^{p/3}} \lesssim \|u\|^3_{L^qL^p}$, we have for all $q, p \geq 3$,

$$|D| + |P| \leq \|u\|^3_{L^qL^p} \left(\int_{-1}^0 \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\frac{p}{p-3}+3} \chi_{I_i}(t) \right)^{\frac{p-3}{p}\frac{q}{q-3}} dt \right)^{\frac{q-3}{q}}. \tag{20}$$

Arguing as before with the use of Lemma 2.1, we see that $|D| + |P| \to 0$ whenever

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \leq \frac{2+\alpha-d}{3},\ p \geq q \geq 3; \quad \frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{2+\alpha-d}{3},\ 3 \leq p < q, \tag{21}$$

with the non-strict inequality in both cases if $d = 0$.

In the case $p < 3$ we can no longer use straight Hölder to bound the $D + P$ term, instead we will use interpolation with the enstrophy norm. So, when $p < 3$, we have

$$|D| + |P| \leq \|u\|^{3\beta}_{L^2H^1} \|u\|^{3(1-\beta)}_{L^qL^p} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\sigma L^\infty}, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{3}=\frac{\beta}{6}+\frac{1-\beta}{p}\implies\beta=\frac{6-2p}{6-p};\quad\frac{1}{\sigma}=1-\frac{3\beta}{2}-\frac{3(1-\beta)}{q}=\frac{2pq-3p-3q}{(6-p)q}. \tag{23}$$

Now

$$\|\nabla\phi\|^{\sigma}_{L^{\sigma}L^{\infty}}=\int\sup_i r_i^{-\sigma}\chi_{I_i}(t)\,dt\le\int\sup_j 2^{j\sigma}\chi_{J_j}(t)\,dt\lesssim\sum_j(2^{\alpha-\sigma})^{-j},$$

and the sum on the right is bounded whenever $\sigma<\alpha$. Substituting in for σ and simplifying, we obtain

$$\frac{2+\alpha}{p}+\frac{\alpha}{q}<\frac{1+2\alpha}{3},\quad p<3\le q. \tag{24}$$

2.4. **Optimization and the main result.** Let us now discuss optimal values of α, beginning with the case $p\ge 3$. In this case we have the three constraints (18), (19), and (21), representing a triple of parallel lines. The C-line pivots around the energy space $L^\infty L^2$ and rotates counterclockwise (toward a more stringent condition) as α increases. The DP-line pivots around $L^3L^{\frac{9-3d}{2-d}}$ and also rotates counterclockwise (but toward a more relaxed condition) as α increases. The F-line pivots around $L^2L^{\frac{6-2d}{1-d}}$ counterclockwise, relaxing as α increases. (Note that some exponents can become negative for larger d's; however, the region beyond $p,q=\infty$ can be disregarded at this moment.) So, the conditions become optimal when the two lower lines coincide. Simple linear algebra shows that for $d<1$ the C- and DP-lines are lower, for $d>1$ the C- and F-lines are lower, and at $d=1$ all three lines coincide at their optimal tilt. So, in the case $d\le 1$, setting C- and DP-lines equal we find $\alpha=\frac{5-d}{2}$ (clearly in this case $\alpha\ge 2$ and so the F-condition is more relaxed). In the case $d>1$ we set C- and F-lines equal which results in the value of $\alpha=2$ as being most optimal. We thus obtain the following conditions

$$\frac{2(3-d)}{p}+\frac{5-d}{q}\le 3-d,\ \ 3\le p,\ q\le p,\ d\le 1 \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{2(3-d)}{p}+\frac{5-d}{q}<3-d,\ \ 3\le p<q,\ d\le 1 \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{3-d}{p}+\frac{2}{q}<\frac{3-d}{2},\ \ 3\le p<q,\ 1<d<3. \tag{27}$$

In (25), we were able to remove the restriction $q\ge 3$ using interpolation. Let us note that in the case $d>1$ the optimal line drops below Lions' space L^4L^4, therefore only the case $p<q$ yields new results. The line intersects the segment $[L^4L^4,L^\infty L^3]$ at the space $L^{\frac{6+2d}{3-d}}L^{\frac{6+2d}{1+d}}$. And again, the inequality (26) becomes non-strict in the case $d=0$.

For the values $0\le d\le 1$, the point on the bisectrice separating the open and closed regions is $L^{\frac{11-3d}{3-d}}L^{\frac{11-3d}{3-d}}$. When $d=1$, it becomes the classical Lions space L^4L^4.

Let us now address the case $p<3$. In this case we use (24) to replace (21) in the previous argument, while (18) and (19) are understood under the lighter restriction $p,q\ge 2$. Also, note that the region now only lies in the cone $q>p$. The new DP-line now pivots around the enstrophy point L^2L^6 counterclockwise as α increases. For $d\le 1$, the non-trivial new region appears as α increases beyond $\alpha=\frac{5-d}{2}$. The F-line is less restrictive than C-line, so we can disregard it. At $\alpha=\frac{5-d}{2}$ the C- and DP-lines intersect at $L^{\frac{15-3d}{3-d}}L^3$. The point of intersection reaches its final state at the energy space $L^\infty L^2$ when $\alpha=4$. In the process it traverses the curve given by

$$(18-6d)x^2+(6-6d)xy-(21-7d)x-(7-3d)y+6-2d=0, \tag{28}$$



FIGURE 1. $d = 0$



FIGURE 2. $0 < d < 1$

in coordinates $x = p^{-1}$ and $y = q^{-1}$. Notice that the curve in fact contains both L^2L^6 and $L^\infty L^2$ for all values of d, as we expect it to (indeed, these points are the two axes of rotation for our lines). However, since we are restricted to the case when $p < 3$, the part of the curve that we can use is limited to that connecting $L^{\frac{15-3d}{3-d}}L^3$ and $L^\infty L^2$. The curve is a part of the hyperbola as seen from the negative Hessian (except when $d = 1$, when the curve is a parabola).

For $d > 1$, the two lines C and F coincide when $\alpha = 2$; at this value of α, the new DP-line cuts through the C-line at space $L^{\frac{6+6d}{3-d}}L^{\frac{6+6d}{1+3d}}$, which is already inside the strip $p < 3$. It does not make sense to decrease α, since doing so would move the F- and DP-lines clockwise inside the already discovered region. Increasing α above 2 makes the F-line more relaxed, and the intersection point of C- and DP-lines falls on the same curve (28). This time, however, the curve begins further to the right, at the space $L^{\frac{6+6d}{3-d}}L^{\frac{6+6d}{1+3d}}$, and ends at $L^\infty L^2$, corresponding to the fixed range $2 \le \alpha \le 4$.

Finally, recall that in all the arguments above we have assumed $q < \infty$ in order to ensure that the vanishing of the terms comes from the norm $L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and not from the Hausdorff measure of S. However, the case $q = \infty$ follows automatically by interpolation with the Leray-Hopf line, which lands the solution strictly inside the quadrant $q, p < \infty$.

Figures 1-4 illustrate the new regions uncovered in each case.

3. GENERAL SINGULARITIES

We note that even if the energy equality is known on each interval of regularity including the critical point, it still does not imply energy equality globally on a time interval of existence of the weak solution. This is due to lack of a proper gluing procedure that could restore energy equality from pieces. In this section we therefore address the question when singularity set S is spread in space-time. In this case there is no freedom in choosing time-scale of the covering cylinders as it should already be built into the definition of the Hausdorff dimension. We choose to work with the classical parabolic dimension, i.e. $\alpha = 2$ in our terms.

The main technical difference of this general case compared to the case of one time singularity is that when $s \le 1$, we can only prove (16) under the stronger assumption $\sigma s + d \le \alpha = 2$ simply by bringing



FIGURE 3. $d = 1$



FIGURE 4. $1 < d < 3$

the exponent s inside the sum. This is the sharpest condition possible under which the conclusion of the Lemma holds, as one can see by the opposite extreme example when all the intervals I_i's are disjoint.

Assume then that S has parabolic dimension $d \in [0, 1]$ but no other special properties. Let $B_r(x)$, δ be as above, then choose finitely many $(x_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$ and $r_i \in (0, \delta)$ such that $S \subset Q := \bigcup_i Q_i$, where $Q_i = B_i(x_i) \times I_i$ and $I_i = (t_i - r_i^2,\ t_i + r_i^2)$. Let Q^* denote the union of the double-dilated cylinders. Let ψ be as above, put $\phi_i = \psi(|x - x_i|/r_i)\psi(|t - t_i|/r_i^2)$ and $\phi = 1 - \sup_i \phi_i$.

Let us note that in the special case $d = 0$, S is once again a finite point set. The energy balance relation holds on each of the finitely many time-slices associated to each of the points in S, under the criteria of the previous section. Therefore it holds under these criteria for a general 0-dimensional singularity set. Below we assume that $d \in (0, 1]$.

Assume $p \le q$. Using (13), (15), we see that $C, F \to 0$ whenever $\left(\frac{2p}{p-2} - 3\right)\frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2} + d \le 2$, or simplifying,

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2-d}{q} \le \frac{3-d}{2} \quad (p \le q). \tag{29}$$

Similarly, if $p \ge 3$, then $D, P \to 0$ whenever

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2-d}{q} \le \frac{4-d}{3} \quad (3 \le p \le q). \tag{30}$$

Of course, when $d \in [0, 1]$, we have $\frac{4-d}{3} \le \frac{3-d}{2}$, so the restriction (30) is limiting in this case.

On the other hand, if $p < 3$, then we use (22) and (23). Estimating

$$\|\nabla\phi\|^\sigma_{L^\sigma L^\infty} \le \sum_i \int r_i^{-\sigma}\chi_{I_i}(t)\,dt \le \sum_i r_i^{2-\sigma},$$

we see that $D, P \to 0$ whenever and $2 - \sigma \ge d$, i.e.,

$$\frac{4-d}{p} + \frac{2-d}{q} \le \frac{5-2d}{3}, \quad p < 3. \tag{31}$$



FIGURE 5. $0 < d < 1$



FIGURE 6. $d = 1$

Notice that we could have also reached this inequality by interpolation. This argument covers all terms under consideration in the case $p \le q$; it remains to deal with the case when $p > q$. Most of the analysis from the single time-slice situation carries over in this case, since Lemma 2.1 does not require nested I_i in the case $s \ge 1$. However, the lack of freedom to choose α restricts the applicable range. After translating the condition $s(\sigma + d) \le 2$ into conditions on C, D, P, F, we see that D, P are most stringent when $p \ge q \ge 3$ and correspond to the condition

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \le \frac{4-d}{3}, \quad 3 \le q \le p.$$

Using interpolation to treat the case $q \le 3 \le p$ as well, we can state our criteria for energy balance as follows:

$$\frac{2(3-d)}{p} + \frac{5-d}{q} \le 3-d, \quad q \le 3 \le p, \tag{32a}$$

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \le \frac{4-d}{3}, \quad 3 \le q \le p; \tag{32b}$$

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2-d}{q} \le \frac{4-d}{3}, \quad 3 \le p \le q; \tag{32c}$$

$$\frac{4-d}{p} + \frac{2-d}{q} \le \frac{5-2d}{3}, \quad p \le 3 \le q. \tag{32d}$$

As $d \to 1^-$, the second of these collapses to L^4L^4, while the last collapses to $L^\infty L^3$. However, when $d \in (0,1)$ we obtain a new region bounded by the points $L^{\frac{5-d}{3-d}}L^\infty$, $L^3L^{\frac{9-3d}{2-d}}$, $L^{\frac{15-3d}{4-d}}L^{\frac{15-3d}{4-d}}$, $L^{\frac{6-3d}{1-d}}L^3$, $L^\infty L^{\frac{5-2d}{12-3d}}$. See Figures 5-6.

4. FRACTIONAL NSE

In this section we present extensions of the results for the classical NSE to NSE with fractional dissipation $\gamma < 1$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nu \Lambda_{2\gamma} u = -\nabla p, \tag{33}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \tag{34}$$

where $\widehat{\Lambda_s u} = |\xi|^s \widehat{u}$. In this case, weak solutions belong to $L^2 H^\gamma \cap L^\infty L^2$. The energy equality can be written

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{t\}} |u|^2\phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\{0\}} |u|^2\phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |u|^2 \partial_t \phi \\ &\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |u|^2 u\cdot\nabla\phi + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} p\, u\cdot\nabla\phi - 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} |\Lambda_\gamma u|^2\phi \\ &\quad - 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} \Lambda_\gamma u\cdot u\Lambda_\gamma\phi - 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,t)} \Lambda_\gamma u\cdot[\Lambda_\gamma(u\phi) - (\Lambda_\gamma u)\phi - u\Lambda_\gamma\phi]. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We label our terms in the same manner as in the classical case:

$$A - B - C = D + 2P - 2\nu E - 2\nu F - 2\nu G.$$

As before, convergence of A, B, E is obvious; proving energy equality amounts to showing that the other terms vanish.

For sufficiently regular f and $\gamma \in (0,2)$, we have

$$\Lambda_\gamma f(x) = -c_\gamma \int \frac{\delta_{-z}\delta_z f(x)}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\, dz = \widetilde{c}_\gamma\, p.v. \int \frac{\delta_z f(x)}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\, dz,$$

where δ_z denotes the difference operator $\delta_z f(x) = f(x+z) - f(x)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $\phi \in W^{1,a}$ for some $a \in [1,\infty]$ and let $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Then $\Lambda_\gamma\phi \in L^a$, and we have the bound*

$$\|\Lambda_\gamma\phi\|_{L^a} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^a}^{1-\gamma} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^a}^{\gamma}. \tag{36}$$

Proof. For any $r > 0$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda_\gamma\phi\|_{L^a} &= \left\| \int_{|z|\le r} \frac{\delta_z\phi}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\, dz + \int_{|z|>r} \frac{\delta_z\phi}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\, dz \right\|_{L^a} \\ &\le \int_{|z|\le r} \frac{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^a}}{|z|^{2+\gamma}}\, dz + \int_{|z|>r} \frac{2\|\phi\|_{L^a}}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\, dz \\ &\le r^{-\gamma}[r\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^a} + 2\|\phi\|_{L^a}]. \end{aligned}$$

We put $r = \|\phi\|_{L^a}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^a}^{-1}$ to optimize. The bound (36) follows immediately. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u \in H^\gamma \cap L^p$, $p > 2$, $\gamma \in (0,1)$, and $\phi \in W^{1,\frac{2p}{p-2}}$. Then*

$$\|\Lambda_\gamma(u\phi) - (\Lambda_\gamma u)\phi - u\Lambda_\gamma\phi\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^p} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}^{1-\gamma} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}^{\gamma}. \tag{37}$$

The inequality continues to hold when $p = 2$ and $2p/(p-2)$ is replaced by ∞.

Proof. We use the identity

$$[\Lambda_\gamma(u\phi) - (\Lambda_\gamma u)\phi - u\Lambda_\gamma\phi](x) = -\int \frac{\delta_z u(x)\,\delta_z\phi(x)}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\,dz$$

and estimate the right side of this equality. Let $r > 0$ be arbitrary for now. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\int \frac{\delta_z u\,\delta_z\phi}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\,dz\right\|_{L^2} &\le \int_{|z|\le r} \frac{\|\delta_z u\,\delta_z\phi\|_{L^2}}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\,dz + \int_{|z|>r} \frac{\|\delta_z u\,\delta_z\phi\|_{L^2}}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\,dz\\ &\le 2\|u\|_{L^p}\left[\int_{|z|\le r} \frac{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}}{|z|^{2+\gamma}}\,dz + \int_{|z|>r} \frac{2\|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}}{|z|^{3+\gamma}}\,dz\right]\\ &\le 2r^{-\gamma}\|u\|_{L^p}[r\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} + 2\|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}].\end{aligned}$$

Put $r = \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\|\nabla\phi\|^{-1}_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}$ to complete the proof. □

We combine the two propositions above and apply them to our original test function ϕ:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \|u\Lambda_\gamma\phi\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Lambda_\gamma(u\phi) - (\Lambda_\gamma u)\phi - u\Lambda_\gamma\phi\|^2_{L^2}\,dt\\ &\lesssim \int (\|u\|_{L^p}\|\phi\|^{1-\gamma}_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\|\nabla\phi\|^{\gamma}_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}})^2\,dt\\ &\le \|u\|^2_{L^qL^p}\|\phi\|^{2(1-\gamma)}_{L^\infty L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\|\nabla\phi\|^{2\gamma}_{L^{\frac{2q\gamma}{q-2}}L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now with the bound $|\nabla\phi(x,t)| \le \sup_i |\nabla\phi_i(x,t)|$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla\phi\|^{\frac{2q\gamma}{q-2}}_{L^{\frac{2q\gamma}{q-2}}L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}} \le \int \left(\sum_i r_i^{-\frac{2p}{p-2}+3}\chi_{I_i}(t)\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}\frac{q}{q-2}\gamma} dt. \tag{38}$$

So we can use Lemma 2.1 to give conditions on when $|F| + |G| \to 0$, depending on whether we are dealing with the one-slice or general type singularity.

4.1. **One-time singularity case, $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$.** We recall some of the conditions for the vanishing of C and $D + P$ and (using the Lemma) add to them conditions for the vanishing of $F + G$. Note that the restriction (40) below on $D + P$ is only valid inside the square $p, q \ge 3$, just as before. We deal with this case first and investigate the case $p < 3$ separately.

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \le \frac{3-d}{2},\ p \ge q \ge 2; \quad \frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{3-d}{2},\ 2 \le p < q. \tag{39}$$

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \le \frac{2+\alpha-d}{3},\ p \ge q \ge 3; \quad \frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{2+\alpha-d}{3},\ 3 \le p < q, \tag{40}$$

$$\frac{(3-d)\gamma}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} \le \frac{(3-d)\gamma+\alpha-2\gamma}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\gamma}{p} \ge \frac{1-\gamma}{2},\ \ p, q \ge 2; \tag{41a}$$

$$\frac{(3-d)\gamma}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \frac{(3-d)\gamma+\alpha-2\gamma}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\gamma}{p} < \frac{1-\gamma}{2},\ \ p, q \ge 2. \tag{41b}$$

The line $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{\gamma}{p} = \frac{1-\gamma}{2}$ joins $L^{\frac{2}{1-\gamma}}L^\infty$ with L^2L^2. It plays the role for $F + G$ that the bisectrice plays for C and $D + P$. Also note that for each restriction, all inequalities are non-strict in the special case $d = 0$, just as before.

When $d \leq 5 - 4\gamma$, we find using the same argument as in the classical case that $\alpha = \frac{5-d}{2}$ gives the optimal region. At this value of α, (39) and (40) coincide, and (41a) and (41b) are less restrictive than (39) and (40). Furthermore, since the line corresponding to (39) rotates about $L^\infty L^2$, we may use interpolation to remove the restriction $q \geq 3$.

In the case $p < 3$, we repeat the argument used for the classical NSE and make changes where necessary. Assume first that $\gamma \geq \frac{3}{4}$. Then we have

$$|D| + |P| \leq \|u\|^{3\beta}_{L^2H^1} \|u\|^{3(1-\beta)}_{L^qL^p} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\sigma L^\infty}, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{(3-2\gamma)\beta}{6} + \frac{1-\beta}{p} \implies \beta = \frac{6-2p}{6-(3-2\gamma)p};\ 1-\beta = \frac{(2\gamma-1)p}{6-(3-2\gamma)p}; \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} = 1 - \frac{3\beta}{2} - \frac{3(1-\beta)}{q} = \frac{2\gamma pq - 3p(2\gamma-1) - 3q}{(6-(3-2\gamma)p)q}. \tag{44}$$

Now

$$\|\nabla\phi\|^\sigma_{L^\sigma L^\infty} = \int \sup_i r_i^{-\sigma} \chi_{I_i}(t)\, dt \leq \int \sup_j 2^{j\sigma} \chi_{J_j}(t)\, dt \lesssim \sum_j (2^{\alpha-\sigma})^{-j},$$

and the sum on the right is bounded whenever $\sigma < \alpha$. Substituting in for σ and simplifying, we obtain

$$\frac{2+\alpha}{p} + \frac{(2\gamma-1)\alpha}{q} < \frac{3-2\gamma+2\alpha\gamma}{3}. \tag{45}$$

Note that as α increases, the line corresponding to equality rotates counterclockwise about $L^2 L^{\frac{6}{3-2\gamma}}$. Combining (39) and (45) with inequality replaced by equality in both cases, we find the curve

$$\begin{aligned} 6(3-d)x^2 + 6(6\gamma - 5 - (2\gamma-1)d)xy - (4\gamma+3)(3-d)x \\ - (22\gamma - 15 - (2\gamma-1)3d)y + 2\gamma(3-d) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $x = p^{-1}$ and $y = q^{-1}$. Notice that the curve contains both $L^2 L^{\frac{6}{3-2\gamma}}$ and $L^\infty L^2$, as we expect it to (indeed, these points are the two axes of rotation for our lines). However, since we are restricted to the case when $p < 3$, the part of the curve that we can use is limited to that connecting $L^{\frac{15-3d}{3-d}} L^3$ and $L^\infty L^2$.

If $\gamma < \frac{3}{4}$, then (42) is not valid for all values of p, q. In particular, we need $3\beta \leq 2$ for the obvious application of Hölder to be valid, which translates to $p \geq \frac{3}{2\gamma}$. When $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, we also see that the two places where the curve (46) crosses the x-axis are at $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and $x = \frac{2\gamma}{3}$. When $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, we have $\frac{2\gamma}{3} \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$. So the curve still gives us a meaningful restriction up to the point where it crosses the x-axis for the first time. Once $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, however, we have $\frac{2\gamma}{3} < \frac{1}{3}$, so the use of enstrophy does not allow us to make any statement about the range $p < 3$.

All in all, our criteria for energy equality in the case $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq d \leq 5 - 4\gamma$ can be stated as

$$\frac{2(3-d)}{p} + \frac{5-d}{q} \leq 3-d,\ p \geq q; \quad \frac{2(3-d)}{p} + \frac{5-d}{q} < 3-d,\ 3 \leq p < q. \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6(3-d)x^2 + 6(6\gamma - 5 - (2\gamma-1)d)xy - (4\gamma+3)(3-d)x \\ - (22\gamma - 15 - (2\gamma-1)3d)y + 2\gamma(3-d) > 0, \quad \frac{1}{3} < x < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2\gamma}{3}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Once again, strict inequalities are replaced by nonstrict ones if $d = 0$.



FIGURE 7. $\frac{3}{4} \le \gamma < 1$, $0 < d \le 5 - 4\gamma$



FIGURE 8. $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < \frac{3}{4}$, $0 < d \le 5 - 4\gamma$

Figures 7-8 diagram our results for a fixed value of $d \in (0, 5-4\gamma)$ (we use $d = \frac{2}{3}$) and varying $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Note that the analogue of the Lions' space L^4L^4 is $L^{\frac{6\gamma-2}{2\gamma-1}}L^{\frac{6\gamma-2}{2\gamma-1}}$, by interpolation with the Onsager space $L^3L^{9/2}$.

As we take $d \to 5 - 4\gamma$ from below, the new region above the bissectrice collapses to the segment $[L^\infty L^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-1}}, L^{\frac{6\gamma-2}{2\gamma-1}}L^{\frac{6\gamma-2}{2\gamma-1}}]$. In this respect, the value $d = 5 - 4\gamma$ serves a similar role to the value $d = 1$ in the classical case. Things are slightly more complicated when $5 - 4\gamma < d < 3$. In this case, setting α equal to its usually optimal value of $\alpha = \frac{5-d}{2}$ places too heavy a burden on $F + G$; for a fixed p, we must increase α to optimize, until the restrictions on C and $F + G$ coincide. An elementary computation gives the optimal value of α to be

$$\alpha_{CF}(x) = (3-d)(1-\gamma)(1-2x) + 2\gamma \qquad (x = p^{-1}). \tag{49}$$

We see then that as x increases, the optimal value of α decreases. When $p \ge 3$, the restriction on $D+P$ is always less stringent for this value of α than the corresponding restriction for C. However, as x increases beyond $\frac{1}{3}$, $\alpha_{CF}(x)$ eventually becomes sufficiently small so that (45) becomes limiting once again. At this point, the optimal restriction is once again determined by the intersection of the C and $D + P$ lines, following the curve (46). Indeed, along the curve (46), α is given by

$$\alpha_{CDP}(x) = \frac{3[(3-d)(2\gamma-1)-2](1-2x) + 4\gamma}{2(2\gamma - 3x)}. \tag{50}$$

Now,

$$\alpha_{CDP}(1/3) = \frac{5-d}{2} < 2\gamma < \alpha_{CF}(1/3),$$

whereas

$$\alpha_{CDP}(1/2) = \frac{4\gamma}{4\gamma - 3} > 2\gamma = \alpha_{CF}(1/2) \quad (3/4 < \gamma < 1),$$
$$\lim_{x \to \frac{2\gamma}{3}^-} \alpha_{CDP}(x) = \infty > \alpha_{CF}(2\gamma/3) \quad (1/2 < \gamma \le 3/4).$$



FIGURE 9. $\frac{3}{4} \leq \gamma < 1$, $5 - 4\gamma < d < 3$



FIGURE 10. $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < \frac{3}{4}$, $5 - 4\gamma < d < 3$

So there must be some $x_0 \in (\frac{1}{3}, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2\gamma}{3}\})$ where $\alpha_{CDP}(x_0) = \alpha_{CF}(x_0)$. The actual value of x_0 doesn't seem to take a particularly enlightening form in general, but it can be easily calculated given $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $d \in (5 - 4\gamma, 3)$. See figures 9 and 10.

Altogether, the criteria for energy equality in the case $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $d \in (5 - 4\gamma, 3)$ can be stated as

$$4(1-\gamma)(3-d)xy - 2(3-d+(d-1)\gamma)y + (1-2x)(3-d) > 0, \quad x < x_0; \tag{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &6(3-d)x^2 + 6(6\gamma - 5 - (2\gamma-1)d)xy - (4\gamma+3)(3-d)x \\ &\qquad - (22\gamma - 15 - (2\gamma-1)3d)y + 2\gamma(3-d) > 0, \quad x_0 < x < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2\gamma}{3}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

4.2. **One-time singularity case, $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$.** Much of the analysis of the previous subsection carries over to the case when $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. However, there are a few important differences. For one thing, the Lions' region is the single point $L^\infty L^\infty$ when $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and trivial otherwise. Second, the case $d > 5 - 4\gamma$ is geometrically impossible, since $5 - 4\gamma > 3$ here. Finally, we cannot say anything about the region $p < 3$. As was mentioned earlier, the enstrophy argument used to deal with this region for larger values of γ does not apply when $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. In fact, we cannot even get any new information by interpolation with the Leray-Hopf line, since the point $L^2 L^{\frac{6}{3-2\gamma}}$ lies on the line $x = \frac{1}{3}$ when $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and to the right of this line when $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. So the region for which we have proved energy equality is independent of γ for $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$; the region depends only on d. See Figure 11.



FIGURE 11. $0 < \gamma \le \frac{1}{2}, d < 3$

4.3. **General Singularities.** We fix $\alpha = 2\gamma$ in consideration of the natural scaling. The restrictions corresponding to C, $D + P$, and $F + G$ become

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{2\gamma}{q} \le \frac{3-d}{2},\ p \ge q \ge 2; \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2\gamma - d}{q} \le \frac{3-d}{2},\ 2 \le p < q \tag{53}$$

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{2\gamma}{q} \le \frac{2+2\gamma-d}{3},\ p \ge q \ge 3; \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2\gamma - d}{q} \le \frac{2+2\gamma-d}{3},\ 3 \le p < q, \tag{54}$$

$$\frac{3-d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \le \frac{3-d}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\gamma}{p} \ge \frac{1-\gamma}{2},\ \ p, q \ge 2; \tag{55a}$$

$$\frac{3\gamma}{p} + \frac{2\gamma - d}{q} \le \frac{3\gamma - d}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\gamma}{p} < \frac{1-\gamma}{2},\ \ p, q \ge 2. \tag{55b}$$

We will not present figures pertaining to this particular situation as the reader can easily verify conditions above for any particular values of γ, d, p, q. However, we make several comments.

Assume first that $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then (54) is more stringent then (53) when $d < 5 - 4\gamma$; the two inequalities coincide when $d = 5 - 4\gamma$. At this value of d, the region satisfying (53), (54) is exactly the region already covered by the analogue of Lions' result. So only the case $d < 5 - 4\gamma$ can give new information. However, in contrast to the classical case, the restrictions (55a), (55b) are not always superfluous. If $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, then the Lions' region is trivial, and consequently the value $d = 5 - 4\gamma$ has no special significance for our argument in the case of a general 2γ-parabolic d-dimensional singularity with $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

When $d = 0$, the singularity set can be covered by finitely many time-slices, and the region covered is the same as in the one-slice case. When $d \in (0, 2\gamma - 1)$, the DP-lines are limiting, but there is still a nontrivial region covered in the range $p < 3$, by interpolation. This region disappears when $d = 2\gamma - 1$, but the DP lines remain the limiting restriction until d surpasses the value $\frac{1}{2}(5 + \gamma - \sqrt{9\gamma^2 - 18\gamma + 25})$,

at which point the lower FG line (corresponding to (55b)) cuts into both the upper and lower DP-lines. This situation prevails until d reaches the value $\frac{5\gamma-4\gamma^2}{3-2\gamma}$, at which point the lower FG line becomes more stringent than the lower DP line everywhere below the bisectrice. However, at this point the upper FG line is still less stringent than the upper DP line; this changes once d surpasses 1. Note that the point $L^{\frac{5-d}{3-d}}L^\infty$ is no longer included in the region covered for $d > 1$. Rather, the upper FG line lies strictly below the interpolation line obtained in the region $q < 3$ from the uppermost point on the DP segment. When d lies in the range $d \in [1, 2\gamma + 1 - 2\sqrt{3\gamma^2 - 3\gamma + 1})$, the upper DP line remains more stringent than the FG lines on a small segment. However, once $d \geq 2\gamma+1-2\sqrt{3\gamma^2 - 3\gamma + 1}$, the FG restrictions are limiting in all cases.

There are a few larger values of significance for d, but they involve the interaction between the FG lines and the Lions' region rather than the FG lines and the other restrictions imposed by our method. We describe briefly the bifurcations of the diagrams. When d reaches the value $2 - \gamma$, the Lions' point $L^{\frac{6\gamma-2}{2\gamma-1}}L^{\frac{6\gamma-2}{2\gamma-1}}$ lies on the lower FG segment. When $d = \gamma(5 - 4\gamma)$ the new region below the bissectrice disappears entirely (since $\frac{3\gamma-d}{6\gamma} = \frac{2\gamma-1}{3}$ for this value of d). The new region disappears entirely into the Lions region once $d = \frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}$. Indeed, at this value of d we have $\frac{3-d}{4} = \frac{2\gamma-1}{2\gamma}$; furthermore, both the upper FG-line and the line containing the upper part of the boundary for the Lions' region pass through $L^\infty L^2$. Therefore, the upper FG-line collapses to (a portion of) the boundary of the Lions' region when $d = \frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}$.

Remark 4.3. Finally, we make a remark about the case $\gamma > 1$. The main technical reason why this case eludes our analysis is a failure to produce a proper cutoff function φ for which $\Lambda_\gamma\varphi$ would remain under control, as $\nabla_x\varphi$ would already develop jump discontinuities. However if $d = 0$, i.e. a finite-point set S, one can construct each φ from φ_i's having disjoint support, allowing the analysis to be carried out. In this case, the region of conditions is the same as what is shown in Figure 1, except that the equation for the hyperbola connecting L^5L^3 to the energy space is now given by (46) with $d = 0$:

$$18x^2 + (6\gamma - 5)6xy - (12\gamma + 9)x - (22\gamma - 15)y + 6\gamma = 0, \quad \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{56}$$

REFERENCES

[1] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35(6):771–831, 1982.

[2] Marco Cannone. *Ondelettes, paraproduits et Navier-Stokes*. Diderot Editeur, Paris, 1995. With a preface by Yves Meyer.

[3] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander, and R. Shvydkoy. Energy conservation and Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations. *Nonlinearity*, 21(6):1233–1252, 2008.

[4] Alexey Cheskidov, Susan Friedlander, and Roman Shvydkoy. On the energy equality for weak solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations. In *Advances in mathematical fluid mechanics*, pages 171–175. Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[5] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, revised edition, 2015.

[6] Reinhard Farwig and Yasushi Taniuchi. On the energy equality of Navier-Stokes equations in general unbounded domains. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 95(5):447–456, 2010.

[7] Uriel Frisch. *Turbulence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. The legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov.

[8] Igor Kukavica. Role of the pressure for validity of the energy equality for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 18(2):461–482, 2006.

[9] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural′ceva. *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968.

[10] Jean Leray. Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.*, 63(1):193–248, 1934.

[11] J. L. Lions. Sur la régularité et l'unicité des solutions turbulentes des équations de Navier Stokes. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 30:16–23, 1960.
[12] G. Seregin and V. Šverák. Navier-Stokes equations with lower bounds on the pressure. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 163(1):65–86, 2002.
[13] James Serrin. The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations. In *Nonlinear Problems (Proc. Sympos., Madison, Wis., 1962)*, pages 69–98. Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wis., 1963.
[14] Marvin Shinbrot. The energy equation for the Navier-Stokes system. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 5:948–954, 1974.
[15] R. Shvydkoy. A geometric condition implying an energy equality for solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equation. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 21(1):117–125, 2009.

E-mail address: tlesli2@uic.edu; shvydkoy@uic.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, 851 S MORGAN ST, M/C 249, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL, 60607